1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	HILARIO ARTURO CHICHIL,	No. C 05-1958 SI (pr)
9	Petitioner,	ORDER
10	v.	
11	A. KANE, warden,	
12	Respondent.	
13		
Northern District of California 14 Solution 15 Solution 16 Solution 17 Solution 18 Solutio	Petitioner has filed a notice of appeal. He does not need a certificate of appealability to appeal because his habeas petition challenged the parole authority's administrative decision to	
516		
Distriction 17	deny parole. See Rosas v. Nielsen, 428 F.3d 1229, 1232 (9th Cir. 2005).	
Sorthern 8	Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is DENIED for failure to	
For the 19	provide the information required by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(1). (Docket #	
20	15.) This denial is without prejudice to him filing a request in the Ninth Circuit Court of	
21	Appeals to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).	
22	The clerk shall process the appeal.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	DATED: November 9, 2006	Juran Delston
25		SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:05-cv-01958-SI Document 17 Filed 11/09/06 Page 1 of 1